สัญญาเลขที่ สัญญาเลขที่ R2558C183

รายงานวิจัยฉบับสมบูรณ์

โครงการ : ผลเฉลยบวกสำหรับปัญหาค่าขอบอันดับที่สามที่มีเงื่อนไขขอบปริพันธ์

Positive Solutions for Third-Order Boundary-Value Problems with the Integral Boundary Conditions

คณะผู้วิจัย สังกัด

ผศ.ดร. เอกรัฐ ไทยเลิศ คณะวิทยาศาสตร์

สนับสนุนโดยกองทุนวิจัยมหาวิทยาลัยนเรศวร

กิตติกรรมประกาศ (Acknowledgement)

รายงานการวิจัยฉบับนี้สำเร็จลุล่วงได้ ข้าพเจ้าและคณะผู้ทำวิจัยขอขอบพระคุณทางมหาวิทยาลัยนเรศวร ที่ให้ทุนอุดหนุนการวิจัยจากงบประมาณรายได้ กองทุนวิจัยมหาวิทยาลัยนเรศวร ประจำปีงบประมาณ พ.ศ. 2558 เป็นจำนวนเงินทั้งสิ้น 180,000 บาท

เอกรัฐ ไทยเลิศ

ชื่อโครงการ ผลเฉลยบวกสำหรับปัญหาค่าขอบอันดับที่สามที่มีเงื่อนไขขอบปริพันธ์

Positive Solutions for Third-Order Boundary-Value Problems with the Integral Boundary Conditions

ชื่อผู้วิจัย ผศ.ดร. เอกรัฐ ไทยเลิศ

หน่วยงานที่สังกัด ภาควิชาคณิตศาสตร์ คณะวิทยาศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยนเรศวร

ได้รับทุนอุดหนุนการวิจัยจาก กองทุนวิจัยมหาวิทยาลัยนเรศวร

จำนวนเงิน 180,000 บาท

ระยะเวลาการทำวิจัย 1 ปี

บทคัดย่อ(ภาษาไทย)

งานวิจัยนี้ ทำการศึกษาถึงการมีจริงและการมีเพียงผลเฉลยเดียวของระบบสมการเชิงอนุพันธ์เชิงเศษส่วนของฮิลเฟอร์-ฮาดามาร์ด โดยประยุกต์ใช้ทฤษฎีบทจุดตรึง กล่าวคือสำหรับปัญหาการมีจริงจะประยุกต์ใช้ทฤษฎีบทรีเลย์-ชาวเดอร์ และสำหรับปัญหาการมีผลเฉลยเดียวจะประยุกต์ใช้ทฤษฎีบทการหดตัวบานาช พร้อมทั้งยกตัวอย่างการนำทฤษฎีบทดังกล่าวไปใช้ประโยชน์

บทคัดย่อ(ภาษาอังกฤษ)

In this paper, we study existence and uniqueness of solutions for system of Hilfer-Hadamard sequential fractional differential equations, via standard fixed point theorems. The existence is proved by using Leray-Schauder alternative, while the existence and uniqueness by Banach contraction mapping principle. Illustrative examples are also discussed.

LIST OF CONTENT

CHAPTER Page

1 INTRODUCTION 1

2 MAIN RESULTS 7

3 CONCLUSION 21

REFERENCES 22

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Fractional differential equations have been applied in many fields such as physics, chemistry, biology, engineering and so on. Fractional differential equations have several kinds of fractional derivatives, such as, Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, Caputo fractional derivative, Grunwald-Letnikov fractional derivative, Hadamard fractional derivative etc. The reader interested in the subject of fractional calculus is referred to the books Kilbas et al. [1], Podlubny [2], Samko et al. [3], Miller and Ross [4], Diethelm [5]. A generalization of derivatives of both Riemann-Liouville and Caputo was given by R. Hilfer in [6] when he studied fractional time evolution in physical phenomena. He named it as generalized fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and a type $\beta \in [0,1]$ which can be reduced to the Riemann-Liouville and Caputo fractional derivatives when $\beta = 0$ and $\beta = 1$, respectively. Many authors call it the Hilfer fractional derivative. Such derivative interpolates between the Riemann-Liouville and Caputo derivative. For other current definitions of fractional derivatives see [7]-[11].

Fractional-order boundary value problems have been extensively studied by many researchers. In particular, coupled systems of fractional-order differential equations have attracted special attention in view of their occurence in the mathematical modeling of physical phenomena like chaos synchronization [12], anomalous diffusion [13], ecological effects [14], disease models [15], etc. Additionally, fixed point theory can be used to develop the existence theory for the coupled systems of fractional differential equations. For some recent theoretical results on coupled systems of fractional-order differential equations, for example, see [16]-[30].

Alsaedi et al. [23] studied the existence of solutions for a Riemann-Liouville coupled system of nonlinear fractional integro-differential equations given by

$$\begin{cases} D^{\alpha}u(t) = f(t, u(t), v(t), (\phi_1 u)(t), (\psi_1 v)(t)), & t \in [0, T], \\ D^{\beta}v(t) = g(t, u(t), v(t), (\phi_2 u)(t), (\psi_2 v)(t)), & 1 < \alpha, \beta \leq 2, \end{cases}$$

subject to coupled Riemann-Liouville integro-differential boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} D^{\alpha-2}u(0^+) = 0, \quad D^{\alpha-1}u(0^+) = \nu I^{\alpha-1}v(\eta), & 0 < \eta < T, \\ D^{\beta-2}v(0^+) = 0, \quad D^{\beta-1}v(0^+) = \mu I^{\beta-1}u(\sigma), & 0 < \sigma < T, \end{cases}$$

where $D^{(.)}, I^{(.)}$ denote the Riemann-Liouville derivatives and integral of fractional order (.), respectively, $f, g : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given continuous functions, ν, μ are real constants and

$$(\phi_1 u)(t) = \int_0^t \gamma_1(t, s)u(s)ds, \quad (\phi_2 u)(t) = \int_0^t \gamma_2(t, s)u(s)ds$$
$$(\psi_1 v)(t) = \int_0^t \delta_1(t, s)v(s)ds, \quad (\psi_2 v)(t) = \int_0^t \delta_2(t, s)v(s)ds,$$

with γ_i and δ_i $(i = 1, 2)$ being continuous function on $[0, T] \times [0, T]$.

Alsulami et al. [24] studied a new system of coupled Caputo type fractional differential equations

$$\begin{cases} {}^cD^{\alpha}u(t) = f(t, u(t), v(t)), & t \in [0, T], \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \\ {}^cD^{\beta}v(t) = g(t, u(t), v(t)), & t \in [0, T], \quad 1 < \beta \leq 2, \end{cases}$$

subject to the following non-separated coupled boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} u(0) = \lambda_1 v(T), & u'(0) = \lambda_2 v'(T), \\ v(0) = \mu_1 u(T), & v'(0) = \mu_2 u'(T), \end{cases}$$

where ${}^cD^{\alpha}$, ${}^cD^{\beta}$ denote the Caputo fractional derivatives of order α and β, respectively, $f, g : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are appropriately chosen functions and λ_i, μ_i, $i = 1, 2$ are real constants with $\lambda_i \mu_i \neq 1$, $i = 1, 2$.

Ahmad et al. [25] studied the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the following boundary value problem of nonlinear Caputo sequential fractional differential equations

$$\begin{cases} ({}^cD^{\alpha} + k_1{}^cD^{\alpha-1})u(t) = f(t,u(t),v(t)), \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad t \in (0,T), \\ ({}^cD^{\beta} + k_2{}^cD^{\beta-1})v(t) = g(t,u(t),v(t)), \quad 1 < \beta \leq 2, \quad t \in (0,T), \end{cases}$$

supplemented with coupled boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} u(0) = a_1 v(T), \quad u'(0) = a_2 v'(T), \\ v(0) = b_1 u(T), \quad v'(0) = b_2 u'(T), \end{cases}$$

where ${}^cD^{\alpha}, {}^cD^{\beta}$ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative of order α and β, respectively, $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $T > 0$, $f, g : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given continuous functions and a_1, a_2, b_1 and b_2 are real constants with $a_1 b_1 \neq 1$, and $a_2 b_2 e^{-(k_1 T + k_2 T)} \neq 1$.

Aljoudi et al. [29] studied a coupled system of Hadamard type sequential fractional differential equations with coupled strip conditions given by

$$\begin{cases} (D^q + kD^{q-1})u(t) = f(t,u(t),v(t),D^{\alpha}v(t)), \quad k > 0, \quad 1 < q \leq 2, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ (D^p + kD^{p-1})v(t) = g(t,u(t),v(t),D^{\delta}u(t)), \quad 1 < p \leq 2, \quad 0 < \delta < 1, \\ u(1) = 0, \quad u(e) = I^{\gamma}v(\eta) = \dfrac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)}\displaystyle\int_1^{\eta} (\log\frac{\eta}{s})^{\gamma-1}\frac{v(s)}{s}ds, \quad \gamma > 0, \quad 1 < \eta < e, \\ v(1) = 0, \quad v(e) = I^{\beta}v(\zeta) = \dfrac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}\displaystyle\int_1^{\zeta} (\log\frac{\zeta}{s})^{\beta-1}\frac{u(s)}{s}ds, \quad \beta > 0, \quad 1 < \zeta < e, \end{cases}$$

where $D^{(\cdot)}$ and $I^{(\cdot)}$ denote the Hadamard fractional derivative and Hadamard fractional integral, respectively and $f, g : [1,e] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given continuous functions.

Motivated by the research going on in this direction, in this paper, we study existence and uniqueness of solutions for for a new class of system of Hilfer-Hadamard sequential fractional differential equations

$$\begin{cases} ({}_HD_{1^+}^{\alpha_1,\beta_1} + k_1\,{}_HD_{1^+}^{\alpha_1-1,\beta_1})u(t) = f(t,u(t),v(t)), \quad 1 < \alpha_1 \leq 2, \quad t \in [1,e], \\ ({}_HD_{1^+}^{\alpha_2,\beta_2} + k_2\,{}_HD_{1^+}^{\alpha_2-1,\beta_2})v(t) = g(t,u(t),v(t)), \quad 1 < \alpha_2 \leq 2, \quad t \in [1,e], \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

with two point boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} u(1) = 0, & u(e) = A_1, \\ v(1) = 0, & v(e) = A_2, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where ${}_HD^{\alpha_i,\beta_i}$ is the Hilfer-Hadamard fractional derivative of order $\alpha_i \in (1,2]$ and type $\beta_i \in [0,1]$ for $i \in \{1,2\}$, $k_1, k_2, A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $f, g : [1,e] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given continuous functions.

To the best of our knowledge this is the first paper dealing with system containing Hilfer-Hadamard fractional derivative of order $\alpha_i \in (1,2], i = 1,2$. For some recent results on coupled systems of Hilfer-Hadamard fractional derivative of order $\alpha_i \in (0,1], i = 1,2$ we refer to [31], [32] and references cited therein.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some preliminary concepts of fractional calculus. Section 3 contains the main results. The first result, Theorem 2.2, is proved by using Leray-Schauder's alternative and the second result of existence and uniqueness, Theorem 2.3, by Banach's contraction mapping principle. Finally, Section 4 provides some examples for the illustration of the main results. We emphasize that our results are new and contribute significantly to the topic addressed in this paper.

1.1 Preliminaries

In this section, some basic definitions, lemmas and theorems are mentioned.

Definition 1.1 (Hadamard fractional integral [1]). The Hadamard fractional integral of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ for a function $f : [a, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$${}_HI^{\alpha}_{a+}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t \left(\log \frac{t}{\tau}\right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{f(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau, \quad (t > a) \tag{1.3}$$

provided the integral exists, where $\log(.) = \log_e(.)$.

Definition 1.2 (Hadamard fractional derivative [1]). The Hadamard fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$, applied to the function $f : [a, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$ {}_H D^{\alpha}_{a+} f(t) = \delta^n ({}_H I^{n-\alpha}_{a+} f(t)), \quad n-1 < \alpha < n, \quad n = [\alpha] + 1, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\delta^n = (t\frac{d}{dt})^n$ and $[\alpha]$ denotes the integer part of the real number α.

Definition 1.3 (Hilfer-Hadamard fractional derivative [6, 33]). Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $f \in L^1(a,b)$. The Hilfer-Hadamard fractional derivative of order α and type β of f is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} ({}_H D^{\alpha,\beta}_{a+} f)(t) &= ({}_H I^{\beta(1-\alpha)}_{a+} \delta_H I^{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)}_{a+} f)(t) \\ &= ({}_H I^{\beta(1-\alpha)}_{a+} \delta_H I^{1-\gamma}_{a+} f)(t); \quad \gamma = \alpha + \beta - \alpha\beta \\ &= ({}_H I^{\beta(1-\alpha)}_{a+} \; {}_H D^{\gamma}_{a+} f)(t), \end{aligned}$$

where ${}_H I^{(\cdot)}_{a+}$ and ${}_H D^{(\cdot)}_{a+}$ are the Hadamard fractional integral and derivative defined by (1.3) and (1.4), respectively.

The Hilfer-Hadamard fractional derivative may be viewed as interpolating the Hadamard fractional derivative. Indeed for $\beta = 0$ this derivative reduces to the Hadamard fractional derivative.

Definition 1.4 (Hilfer-Hadamard fractional derivative [33]). Let $n-1 < \alpha < n$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $f \in L^1(a,b)$. The Hilfer-Hadamard fractional derivative of order α and type β of f is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} ({}_H D^{\alpha,\beta}_{a+} f)(t) &= ({}_H I^{\beta(n-\alpha)}_{a+} \delta^n \; {}_H I^{(n-\alpha)(1-\beta)}_{a+} f)(t) \\ &= ({}_H I^{\beta(n-\alpha)}_{a+} \delta^n \; {}_H I^{n-\gamma}_{a+} f)(t); \quad \gamma = \alpha + n\beta - \alpha\beta \\ &= ({}_H I^{\beta(n-\alpha)}_{a+} \; {}_H D^{\gamma}_{a+} f)(t), \end{aligned}$$

where ${}_H I^{(\cdot)}_{a+}$ and ${}_H D^{(\cdot)}_{a+}$ are the Hadamard fractional integral and derivative defined by (1.3) and (1.4), respectively.

We recommend some lemmas and theorems of the Hadamard fractional integral and derivative by Kilbas et al. [1].

Theorem 1.5. ([1], [34]) Let $\alpha > 0$, $n = [\alpha] + 1$ and $0 < a < b < \infty$. If $f \in L^1(a,b)$ and $({}_HI^{n-\alpha}_{a+}f)(t) \in AC^n_\delta[a,b]$, then

$$({}_HI^{\alpha}_{a+}\ {}_HD^{\alpha}_{a+}f)(t) = f(t) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\delta^{(n-j-1)}({}_HI^{n-\alpha}_{a+}f))(a)}{\Gamma(\alpha - j)} \left(\log\frac{t}{a}\right)^{\alpha-j-1},$$

where $f(t) \in AC^n_\delta = \{f : [a,b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} : \delta^{(n-1)}f(t) \in AC[a,b], \delta = t\frac{d}{dt}\}$.

Theorem 1.6. ([33]) Let $\alpha > 0$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $\gamma = \alpha + n\beta - \alpha\beta$, $n - 1 < \gamma \leq n$, $n = [\alpha] + 1$ and $0 < a < b < \infty$. If $f \in L^1(a,b)$ and $({}_HI^{n-\gamma}_{a+}f)(t) \in AC^n_\delta[a,b]$, then

$${}_HI^{\alpha}_{a+}\ ({}_HD^{\alpha,\beta}_{a+}f)(t) = {}_HI^{\gamma}_{a+}\ ({}_HD^{\gamma}_{a+}f)(t) = f(t) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\delta^{(n-j-1)}({}_HI^{n-\gamma}_{a+}f))(a)}{\Gamma(\gamma - j)} \left(\log\frac{t}{a}\right)^{\gamma-j-1}.$$

From this theorem, we notice that if $\beta = 0$ the formulae reduces to the formulate in the Theorem 2.5.

We will use the following well known fixed point theorems on Banach space for proving the existence and uniqueness of Hilfer-Hadamard fractional differential systems.

Theorem 1.7 (Leray-Schauder's alternative [35]). Let $T : E \longrightarrow E$ be a completely continuous operator (i.e., a continuous map T restricted to any bounded set in E is compact). Let $\mathcal{E}(T)=\{x \in E : x = \lambda T(x), 0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 1\}$. Then, either the set $\mathcal{E}(T)$ is unbounded, or T has at least one fixed point.

Theorem 1.8. (Banach fixed point theorem [36]). Let X be a Banach space, $D \subset X$ closed and $F : D \longrightarrow D$ a strict contraction, i.e. $\|Fx - Fy\| \leq k\|x - y\|$ for some $k \in (0,1)$ and all $x, y \in D$. Then F has a fixed point in D.

CHAPTER 2

MAIN RESULTS

2.1 Existence and uniqueness results

In this section, we prove existence and uniqueness of solutions for system of Hilfer-Hadamard sequential fractional differential equations with boundary conditions (1.1) and (1.2). The following lemma concerns a linear variant of the system (1.1) and (1.2).

Lemma 2.1. Let $h_1, h_2 \in C([1,e],\mathbb{R})$. Then, $u, v \in C([1,e],\mathbb{R})$ are solutions of the system of fractional differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} ({}_HD_{1^+}^{\alpha_1,\beta_1} + k_1 {}_HD_{1^+}^{\alpha_1-1,\beta_1})u(t) = h_1(t), & 1 < \alpha_1 \le 2, \quad t \in [1,e], \\ ({}_HD_{1^+}^{\alpha_2,\beta_2} + k_2 {}_HD_{1^+}^{\alpha_2-1,\beta_2})v(t) = h_2(t), & 1 < \alpha_2 \le 2, \quad t \in [1,e], \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

supplemented with the boundary conditions (1.2) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = {} & A_1(\log t)^{\gamma_1-1} + k_1\left[(\log t)^{\gamma_1-1}\int_1^e \frac{u(s)}{s}ds - \int_1^t \frac{u(s)}{s}ds\right] \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)}\left[\int_1^t \left(\log\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1-1}\frac{h_1(s)}{s}ds - (\log t)^{\gamma_1-1}\int_1^e\left(\log\frac{e}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1-1}\frac{h_1(s)}{s}ds\right] \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) = {} & A_2(\log t)^{\gamma_2-1} + k_2\left[(\log t)^{\gamma_2-1}\int_1^e \frac{v(s)}{s}ds - \int_1^t \frac{v(s)}{s}ds\right] \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)}\left[\int_1^t \left(\log\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_2-1}\frac{h_2(s)}{s}ds - (\log t)^{\gamma_2-1}\int_1^e\left(\log\frac{e}{s}\right)^{\alpha_2-1}\frac{h_2(s)}{s}ds\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. From the first equation of (2.1), we have

$$ {}_HD_{1^+}^{\alpha_1,\beta_1}u(t) + k_1 {}_HD_{1^+}^{\alpha_1-1,\beta_1}u(t) = h_1(t). \tag{2.4}$$

Taking the Hadamard fractional integral of order α_1 to both sides of (2.4), we get

$$ {}_HI_{1^+}^{\alpha_1} {}_HD_{1^+}^{\alpha_1,\beta_1}u(t) + k_1 {}_HI_{1^+}^{\alpha_1} {}_HD_{1^+}^{\alpha_1-1,\beta_1}u(t) = {}_HI_{1^+}^{\alpha_1}h_1(t).$$

By Lemma 2.6, one has

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &- \frac{\delta({}_HI_{1^+}^{2-\gamma_1}u)(1)}{\Gamma(\gamma_1)}(\log t)^{\gamma_1-1} - \frac{({}_HI_{1^+}^{2-\gamma_1}u)(1)}{\Gamma(\gamma_1-1)}(\log t)^{\gamma_1-2} + k_1 {}_HI_{1^+}^{\alpha_1} {}_HD_{1^+}^{\alpha_1-1,\beta_1}u(t) \\ &= {}_HI_{1^+}^{\alpha_1}h_1(t). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

From the equation (2.5), by Definition 2.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &- \frac{\delta({}_HI_{1^+}^{2-\gamma_1}u)(1)}{\Gamma(\gamma_1)}(\log t)^{\gamma_1-1} - \frac{({}_HI_{1^+}^{2-\gamma_1}u)(1)}{\Gamma(\gamma_1-1)}(\log t)^{\gamma_1-2} + k_1 {}_HI_{1^+}u(t) \\ &= {}_HI_{1^+}^{\alpha_1}h_1(t). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

The equation (2.6) can be written as follows

$$u(t) = c_0(\log t)^{\gamma_1-1} + c_1(\log t)^{\gamma_1-2} - k_1\int_1^t \frac{u(s)}{s}ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)}\int_1^t \left(\log\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1-1}\frac{h_1(s)}{s}ds. \tag{2.7}$$

In a similar way, one can obtain

$$v(t) = d_0(\log t)^{\gamma_2-1} + d_1(\log t)^{\gamma_2-2} - k_2\int_1^t \frac{v(s)}{s}ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)}\int_1^t \left(\log\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_2-1}\frac{h_2(s)}{s}ds, \tag{2.8}$$

where c_0, c_1, d_0 and d_1 are arbitrary constants. Now, the boundary conditions (1.2) together with (2.7), (2.8) yield

$$\begin{aligned} u(1) &= c_0(\log 1)^{\gamma_1-1} + \frac{c_1}{(\log t)^{2-\gamma_1}} - k_1\int_1^1 \frac{u(s)}{s}ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)}\int_1^1 \left(\log\frac{1}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1-1}\frac{h_1(s)}{s}ds = 0, \\ v(1) &= d_0(\log 1)^{\gamma_2-1} + \frac{d_1}{(\log t)^{2-\gamma_2}} - k_2\int_1^1 \frac{v(s)}{s}ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)}\int_1^1 \left(\log\frac{1}{s}\right)^{\alpha_2-1}\frac{h_2(s)}{s}ds = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

from which we have $c_1 = 0$ and $d_1 = 0$. Equations (2.9) can be written as

$$u(t) = c_0(\log t)^{\gamma_1 - 1} - k_1 \int_1^t \frac{u(s)}{s} ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_1^t \left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1 - 1} \frac{h_1(s)}{s} ds \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$v(t) = d_0(\log t)^{\gamma_2 - 1} - k_2 \int_1^t \frac{v(s)}{s} ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_1^t \left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_2 - 1} \frac{h_2(s)}{s} ds. \quad (2.11)$$

Next, the boundary conditions (1.2) together with (2.10), (2.11) yield

$$u(e) = c_0(\log e)^{\gamma_1 - 1} - k_1 \int_1^e \frac{u(s)}{s} ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_1^e \left(\log \frac{e}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1 - 1} \frac{h_1(s)}{s} ds = A_1,$$

$$v(e) = d_0(\log e)^{\gamma_2 - 1} - k_2 \int_1^e \frac{v(s)}{s} ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_1^e \left(\log \frac{e}{s}\right)^{\alpha_2 - 1} \frac{h_2(s)}{s} ds = A_2,$$

from which we have

$$c_0 = A_1 + k_1 \int_1^e \frac{u(s)}{s} ds - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \int_1^e \left(\log \frac{e}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1 - 1} \frac{h_1(s)}{s} ds,$$

$$d_0 = A_2 + k_2 \int_1^e \frac{v(s)}{s} ds - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \int_1^e \left(\log \frac{e}{s}\right)^{\alpha_2 - 1} \frac{h_2(s)}{s} ds.$$

Substituting the values of $c_0, c_1, d_0,$ and d_1 in (2.7) and (2.8), we get the integral equations (2.2) and (2.3). The converse followed by direct computation. This completes the proof. □

Let us introduce the Banach space $X = C([1,e])$ endowed with the norm defined by $\|u\| := \max_{t\in[1,e]} |u(t)|$. Thus, the product space $X \times X$ equipped with the norm $\|(u,v)\| = \|u\| + \|v\|$ is a Banach space. In view of Lemma 3.1, we define an operator $\mathcal{T} : X \times X \longrightarrow X \times X$ by

$$\mathcal{T}(u,v)(t) = (\mathcal{T}_1(u,v)(t), \mathcal{T}_2(u,v)(t)), \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_1(u,v)(t) = {} & A_1(\log t)^{\gamma_1 - 1} + k_1 \left[(\log t)^{\gamma_1 - 1} \int_1^e \frac{u(s)}{s} ds - \int_1^t \frac{u(s)}{s} ds\right] \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \left[\int_1^t \left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1 - 1} \times \frac{f(s,u(s),v(s))}{s} ds \right. \\ & \left. - (\log t)^{\gamma_1 - 1} \int_1^e \left(\log \frac{e}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1 - 1} \frac{f(s,u(s),v(s))}{s} ds \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_2(u,v)(t) = A_2(\log t)^{\gamma_2-1} + k_2\left[(\log t)^{\gamma_2-1}\int_1^e \frac{v(s)}{s}ds - \int_1^t \frac{v(s)}{s}ds\right]$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)}\left[\int_1^t \left(\log\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_2-1} \times \frac{g(s,u(s),v(s))}{s}ds\right.$$
$$\left. - (\log t)^{\gamma_2-1}\int_1^e \left(\log\frac{e}{s}\right)^{\alpha_2-1}\frac{g(s,u(s),v(s))}{s}ds\right]. \qquad (2.14)$$

We need the following hypotheses in the sequel:

(H_1) Assume that there exist real constants $m_i, n_i \geq 0, (i = 1,2)$ and $m_0 > 0$, $n_0 > 0$, such that for all $t \in [1,e]$, $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1,2$,

$$|f(t,x_1,x_2)| \leq m_0 + m_1|x_1| + m_2|x_2|,$$
$$|g(t,x_1,x_2)| \leq n_0 + n_1|x_1| + n_2|x_2|.$$

(H_2) There exist positive constants $L, \bar{L}$, such that for all $t \in [1,e]$, $u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1,2$,

$$|f(t,u_1,u_2) - f(t,v_1,v_2)| \leq L(|u_1 - v_1| + |u_2 - v_2|),$$
$$|g(t,u_1,u_2) - g(t,v_1,v_2)| \leq \bar{L}(|u_1 - v_1| + |u_2 - v_2|).$$

2.2 Existence result via Leray-Schauder alternative

In the first theorem, we prove an existence result based on Leray-Schauder alternative.

Theorem 2.2. Assume that (H_1) holds. In addition it is assumed that $\max\{Q_1, Q_2\} < 1$ where

$$Q_1 := 2\Big(k_1 + \frac{m_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+1)} + \frac{n_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)}\Big), \quad Q_2 := 2\Big(k_2 + \frac{m_2}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+1)} + \frac{n_2}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)}\Big).$$

Then, the system (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one solution on $[1,e]$.

Proof. We will use Leray-Schauder alternative to prove that $\mathcal{T}$, defined by (2.12), has a fixed point. We divide the proof into two steps.

Step I : We show that the operator $\mathcal{T} : X \times X \longrightarrow X \times X$, defined by (2.12), is completely continuous.

First we show that $\mathcal{T}$ is continuous. Let $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ be a sequence such that $(u_n, v_n) \longrightarrow (u, v)$ in $X \times X$. Then for each $t \in [1, e]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\mathcal{T}_1(u_n, v_n)(t) - \mathcal{T}_1(u, v)(t)| \\
&\quad \le k_1 \left[|(\log t)^{\gamma_1 - 1}| \left| \int_1^e \frac{(u_n(s) - u(s))}{s} ds \right| + \left| \int_1^t \frac{(u_n(s) - u(s))}{s} ds \right| \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \left[\left| \int_1^t \left(\log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{\alpha_1 - 1} \frac{(f(s, u_n(s), v_n(s)) - f(s, u(s), v(s)))}{s} ds \right| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |(\log t)^{\gamma_1 - 1}| \left| \int_1^e \left(\log \frac{e}{s} \right)^{\alpha_1 - 1} \frac{(f(s, u_n(s), v_n(s)) - f(s, u(s), v(s)))}{s} ds \right| \right] \\
&\quad \le k_1 \left[\int_1^e \frac{|u_n(s) - u(s)|}{s} ds + \int_1^t \frac{|u_n(s) - u(s)|}{s} ds \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \left[\int_1^t \left(\log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{\alpha_1 - 1} \frac{|f(s, u_n(s), v_n(s)) - f(s, u(s), v(s))|}{s} ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_1^e \left(\log \frac{e}{s} \right)^{\alpha_1 - 1} \frac{|f(s, u_n(s), v_n(s)) - f(s, u(s), v(s))|}{s} ds \right].
\end{aligned}
$$

Since f is continuous, we get

$$|f(s, u_n(s), v_n(s)) - f(s, u(s), v(s))| \longrightarrow 0 \quad as \quad (u_n, v_n) \longrightarrow (u, v).$$

Then

$$\|\mathcal{T}_1(u_n, v_n) - \mathcal{T}_1(u, v)\| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad (u_n, v_n) \longrightarrow (u, v). \tag{2.15}$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{T}_2(u_n, v_n) - \mathcal{T}_2(u, v)\| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad (u_n, v_n) \longrightarrow (u, v). \tag{2.16}$$

It follows from (2.15) and (2.16) that $\|\mathcal{T}(u_n, v_n) - \mathcal{T}(u, v)\| \longrightarrow 0$ as $(u_n, v_n) \longrightarrow (u, v)$. Hence $\mathcal{T}$ is continuous.

Now we show that $\mathcal{T}$ is compact. Let $\Omega \subset X \times X$ be bounded. Then, there exists positive constants L_1 and L_2 such that $|f(t,u(t),v(t))| \leq L_1$, $|g(t,u(t),v(t))| \leq L_2$, $\forall (u,v) \in \Omega$. Let $(u,v) \in \Omega$. Then, there exists M such that $\|(u,v)\| = \|u\| + \|v\| \leq M$, $\forall (u,v) \in \Omega$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mathcal{T}_1(u,v)(t)| \\
&\quad \leq A_1 + k_1\left[\int_1^e \frac{|u(s)|}{s}ds + \int_1^t \frac{|u(s)|}{s}ds\right] \\
&\quad\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)}\left[\int_1^t \left(\log\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1-1}\frac{|f(s,u(s),v(s))|}{s}ds \right.\\
&\quad\quad \left. + \int_1^e \left(\log\frac{e}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1-1}\frac{|f(s,u(s),v(s))|}{s}ds\right] \\
&\quad \leq A_1 + k_1\left[\int_1^e \frac{\max_{s\in[1,e]}|u(s)|}{s}ds + \int_1^t \frac{\max_{s\in[1,e]}|u(s)|}{s}ds\right] \\
&\quad\quad + \frac{L_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)}\left[\int_1^t \left(\log\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1-1}\frac{ds}{s} + \int_1^e \left(\log\frac{e}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1-1}\frac{ds}{s}\right] \\
&\quad \leq A_1 + k_1\|u\|[1 + (\log e)] + \frac{L_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+1)}[(\log e)^{\alpha_1} + 1],
\end{aligned}$$

which on taking the norm for $t \in [1,e]$, yields

$$\|\mathcal{T}_1(u_n,v_n)\| \leq A_1 + 2\left[k_1\|u\| + \frac{L_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+1)}\right].$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{T}_2(u_n,v_n)\| \leq A_2 + 2\left[k_2\|v\| + \frac{L_2}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)}\right].$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{T}(u,v)\| &\leq A_1 + A_2 + 2\left[k_1\|u\| + k_2\|v\| + \frac{L_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+1)} + \frac{L_2}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)}\right] \\
&\leq A_1 + A_2 + 2\left[M(k_1+k_2) + \frac{L_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+1)} + \frac{L_2}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

This mean that there is $P = A_1 + A_2 + 2\left[M(k_1+k_2) + \frac{L_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+1)} + \frac{L_2}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)}\right]$ such that $\|\mathcal{T}(u,v)\| \leq P$. Hence $\mathcal{T}$ is uniformly bounded.

Finally we show that $\mathcal{T}$ is equicontinuous. Let $t, t_0 \in [1, e]$ with $t_0 < t$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\mathcal{T}_1(u,v)(t) - \mathcal{T}_1(u,v)(t_0)| \\
&\quad \leq A_1[(\log t)^{\gamma_1 - 1} - (\log t_0)^{\gamma_1 - 1}] + k_1\Big[((\log t)^{\gamma_1 - 1} - (\log t_0)^{\gamma_1 - 1}) \\
&\quad \int_1^e \frac{|u(s)|}{s} ds + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{|u(s)|}{s} ds\Big] + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)}\Big[\int_1^{t_0}\left(\left(\log\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1 - 1} - \left(\log\frac{t_0}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1 - 1}\right) \\
&\quad \frac{|f(s,u(s),v(s))|}{s} ds + \int_{t_0}^t \left(\log\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1 - 1}\frac{|f(s,u(s),v(s))|}{s} ds + ((\log t)^{\gamma_1 - 1} \\
&\quad - (\log t_0)^{\gamma_1 - 1})\int_1^e \left(\log\frac{e}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1 - 1}\frac{|f(s,u(s),v(s))|}{s} ds\Big] \\
&\quad \leq A_1[(\log t)^{\gamma_1 - 1} - (\log t_0)^{\gamma_1 - 1}] + k_1\Big[\|u\|((\log t)^{\gamma_1 - 1} - (\log t_0)^{\gamma_1 - 1}) \\
&\quad + \|u\|(\log t - \log t_0)\Big] + \frac{L_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)}\Big[\int_1^{t_0}\left(\log\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1 - 1}\frac{ds}{s} - \int_1^{t_0}\left(\log\frac{t_0}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1 - 1}\frac{ds}{s} \\
&\quad + \int_{t_0}^t \left(\log\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1 - 1}\frac{ds}{s} + ((\log t)^{\gamma_1 - 1} - (\log t_0)^{\gamma_1 - 1})\int_1^e \left(\log\frac{e}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1 - 1}\frac{ds}{s}\Big] \\
&\quad \leq A_1[(\log t)^{\gamma_1 - 1} - (\log t_0)^{\gamma_1 - 1}] + k_1 M\Big[((\log t)^{\gamma_1 - 1} - (\log t_0)^{\gamma_1 - 1}) + (\log t \\
&\quad - \log t_0)\Big] + \frac{L_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1)}\Big[((\log t)^{\gamma_1 - 1} - (\log t_0)^{\gamma_1 - 1}) + ((\log t)^{\alpha_1} - (\log t_0)^{\alpha_1})\Big]
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.17}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\mathcal{T}_2(u,v)(t)-\mathcal{T}_2(u,v)(t_0)| \\
&\quad\le A_2[(\log t)^{\gamma_2-1}-(\log t_0)^{\gamma_2-1}]+k_2\Bigg[((\log t)^{\gamma_2-1}-(\log t_0)^{\gamma_2-1})\int_1^e\frac{|v(s)|}{s}ds \\
&\quad+\int_{t_0}^t\frac{|v(s)|}{s}ds\Bigg]+\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)}\Bigg[\int_1^{t_0}\left(\left(\log\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_2-1}-\left(\log\frac{t_0}{s}\right)^{\alpha_2-1}\right) \\
&\quad\frac{|g(s,u(s),v(s))|}{s}ds+\int_{t_0}^t\left(\log\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_2-1}\frac{|g(s,u(s),v(s))|}{s}ds \\
&\quad+((\log t)^{\gamma_2-1}-(\log t_0)^{\gamma_2-1})\int_1^e\left(\log\frac{e}{s}\right)^{\alpha_2-1}\frac{|g(s,u(s),v(s))|}{s}ds\Bigg] \\
&\quad\le A_2[(\log t)^{\gamma_2-1}-(\log t_0)^{\gamma_2-1}]+k_2\Bigg[\|v\|((\log t)^{\gamma_2-1}-(\log t_0)^{\gamma_2-1}) \\
&\quad+\|v\|(\log t-\log t_0)\Bigg]+\frac{L_2}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)}\Bigg[\int_1^{t_0}\left(\log\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_2-1}\frac{ds}{s}-\int_1^{t_0}\left(\log\frac{t_0}{s}\right)^{\alpha_2-1}\frac{ds}{s} \\
&\quad+\int_{t_0}^t\left(\log\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_2-1}\frac{ds}{s}+((\log t)^{\gamma_2-1}-(\log t_0)^{\gamma_2-1})\int_1^e\left(\log\frac{e}{s}\right)^{\alpha_2-1}\frac{ds}{s}\Bigg] \\
&\quad\le A_2[(\log t)^{\gamma_2-1}-(\log t_0)^{\gamma_2-1}]+k_2M\Bigg[((\log t)^{\gamma_2-1} \\
&\quad-(\log t_0)^{\gamma_2-1})+(\log t-\log t_0)\Bigg]+\frac{L_2}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)}\Bigg[((\log t)^{\gamma_2-1}-(\log t_0)^{\gamma_2-1}) \\
&\quad+((\log t)^{\alpha_2}-(\log t_0)^{\alpha_2})\Bigg]. \qquad (2.18)
\end{aligned}
$$

Take $t\longrightarrow t_0$ from (2.17) and (2.18), we have

$$|\mathcal{T}_1(u,v)(t)-\mathcal{T}_1(u,v)(t_0)|\longrightarrow 0 \text{ and } |\mathcal{T}_2(u,v)(t)-\mathcal{T}_2(u,v)(t_0)|\longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } t\longrightarrow t_0.$$

Hence $\mathcal{T}$ is equicontinuous. By Arzelá-Ascoli theorem, we get that $\mathcal{T}(\Omega)$ is compact, that is $\mathcal{T}$ is compact on Ω. Therefore $\mathcal{T}$ is completely continuous.

Step II : We show that the set $\mathcal{E}=\{(u,v)\in X\times X \mid (u,v)=\lambda\mathcal{T}(u,v),\ 0\le\lambda\le 1\}$ is bounded.

Let $(u,v)\in\mathcal{E}$, then $(u,v)=\lambda\mathcal{T}(u,v)$. For any $t\in[1,e]$, we have $u(t)=$

$\lambda\mathcal{T}_1(u,v)(t)$, $v(t)=\lambda\mathcal{T}_2(u,v)(t)$. Then, in view of the assumption (H_1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|u(t)| &\le |\mathcal{T}_1(u,v)(t)|\\ &\le A_1 + k_1\left[\int_1^e \frac{|u(s)|}{s}ds + \int_1^t \frac{|u(s)|}{s}ds\right] + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)}\left[\int_1^t \left(\log\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1-1}\right.\\ &\quad\times \left.\frac{|f(s,u(s),v(s))|}{s}ds + \int_1^e\left(\log\frac{e}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1-1}\frac{|f(s,u(s),v(s))|}{s}ds\right]\\ &\le A_1 + k_1\left[\|u\|\int_1^e\frac{ds}{s} + \|u\|\int_1^t\frac{ds}{s}\right] + \frac{(m_0+m_1\|u\|+m_2\|v\|)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)}\\ &\quad\times\left[\int_1^t\left(\log\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1-1}\frac{ds}{s} + \int_1^e\left(\log\frac{e}{s}\right)^{\alpha_1-1}\frac{ds}{s}\right]\\ &\le A_1 + k_1\|u\|\left[1+(\log e)\right] + \frac{(m_0+m_1\|u\|+m_2\|v\|)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+1)}[(\log e)^{\alpha_1}+1],\end{aligned}$$

which on taking maximum for $t\in[1,e]$, yields

$$\|u\| \le A_1 + 2k_1\|u\| + 2\left(\frac{m_0+m_1\|u\|+m_2\|v\|}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+1)}\right). \tag{2.19}$$

In a similar manner, one can obtain

$$\|v\| \le A_2 + 2k_2\|v\| + 2\left(\frac{n_0+n_1\|u\|+n_2\|v\|}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)}\right). \tag{2.20}$$

From (2.19) and (2.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(u,v)\| &= \|u\|+\|v\|\\ &\le A_1+A_2+\frac{2m_0}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+1)}+\frac{2n_0}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)}\\ &\quad+2\left(k_1+\frac{m_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+1)}+\frac{n_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)}\right)\|u\|+2\left(k_2+\frac{m_2}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+1)}+\frac{n_2}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)}\right)\|v\|\\ &\le A_1+A_2+\frac{2m_0}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+1)}+\frac{2n_0}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)}+\max\{Q_1,Q_2\}\|(u,v)\|,\end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$\|(u,v)\| \le \frac{A_1+A_2+\dfrac{2m_0}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+1)}+\dfrac{2n_0}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)}}{1-\max\{Q_1,Q_2\}}.$$

Therefore the set $\mathcal{E}$ is bounded. By Theorem 2.7, we get that the operator $\mathcal{T}$ has at least one fixed point. Therefore, the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one solution on $[1,e]$. □

2.3 Existence and uniqueness result via Banach's fixed point theorem

Next, we prove an existence and uniqueness result based on Banach's contraction mapping principle.

Theorem 2.3. Assume that (H_2) holds. Then the system (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique solution on $[1, e]$, provided that

$$\mu := 2\Big(k_1 + k_2 + \frac{L}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1)} + \frac{\bar{L}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)}\Big) < 1. \tag{2.21}$$

Proof. We will use Banach fixed point theorem to prove that $\mathcal{T}$, defined by (2.12), has a unique fixed point. Fixing $N_1 = \max_{t\in[1,e]} |f(t,0,0)| < \infty$, $N_2 = \max_{t\in[1,e]} |g(t,0,0)| < \infty$ and using the assumption (H_2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t,u(t),v(t))| &= |f(t,u(t),v(t)) - f(t,0,0) + f(t,0,0)| \le L(\|u\| + \|v\|) + N_1, \\ |g(t,u(t),v(t))| &= |g(t,u(t),v(t)) - g(t,0,0) + g(t,0,0)| \le \bar{L}(\|u\| + \|v\|) + N_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

We choose

$$r \ge \frac{A_1 + A_2 + 2\Big(\frac{N_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+1)} + \frac{N_2}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)}\Big)}{1 - 2\Big(k_1 + k_2 + \frac{L}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+1)} + \frac{\bar{L}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)}\Big)}.$$

We divide the proof into two steps.

Step I : First we show that $\mathcal{T}(B_r) \subset B_r$, where $B_r = \{(u,v) \in X \times X : \|(u,v)\| \le r\}$.

Let $(u,v) \in B_r$. Then, using (2.22), we obtain

$$|\mathcal{T}_1(u,v)(t)| \le A_1 + k_1 \left[\int_1^e \frac{|u(s)|}{s} ds + \int_1^t \frac{|u(s)|}{s} ds \right] + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \left[\int_1^t \left(\log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{\alpha_1 - 1} \times \frac{|f(s,u(s),v(s))|}{s} ds + \int_1^e \left(\log \frac{e}{s} \right)^{\alpha_1 - 1} \frac{|f(s,u(s),v(s))|}{s} ds \right]$$

$$\le A_1 + k_1 \left[\int_1^e \frac{\max_{s\in[1,e]} |u(s)|}{s} ds + \int_1^t \frac{\max_{s\in[1,e]} |u(s)|}{s} ds \right] + \frac{L(\|u\| + \|v\|) + N_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \times \left[\int_1^t \left(\log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{\alpha_1 - 1} \frac{ds}{s} + \int_1^e \left(\log \frac{e}{s} \right)^{\alpha_1 - 1} \frac{ds}{s} \right]$$

$$\le A_1 + 2k_1 r + \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1)} (Lr + N_1),$$

which on taking the norm for $t \in [1,e]$, yields

$$\|\mathcal{T}_1(u,v)\| \le A_1 + 2k_1 r + \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1)} (Lr + N_1).$$

In the same way, one has

$$\|\mathcal{T}_2(u,v)\| \le A_2 + 2k_2 r + \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)} (\bar{L}r + N_2)$$

Then we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}(u,v)\| \le A_1 + A_2 + 2(k_1 + k_2)r + 2\Big(\frac{L}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+1)} + \frac{\bar{L}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)} \Big) r + 2\Big(\frac{N_1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+1)} + \frac{N_2}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)} \Big) \le r.$$

Thus $\|\mathcal{T}(u,v)\| \le r$, that is, $\mathcal{T}(u,v) \in B_r$. Hence $\mathcal{T}(B_r) \subset B_r$.

Step II : We show that the operator $\mathcal{T}$ is a contraction.

Let $(u_2, v_2), (u_1, v_1) \in X \times X$. Then, for any $t \in [1, e]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\mathcal{T}_1(u_2, v_2)(t) - \mathcal{T}_1(u_1, v_1)(t)| \\
&\quad \leq k_1 \left[\int_1^e \frac{|u_2(s) - u_1(s)|}{s} ds + \int_1^t \frac{|u_2(s) - u_1(s)|}{s} ds \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} \left[\int_1^t \left(\log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{\alpha_1 - 1} \frac{|f(s, u_2(s), v_2(s)) - f(s, u_1(s), v_1(s))|}{s} ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_1^e \left(\log \frac{e}{s} \right)^{\alpha_1 - 1} \frac{|f(s, u_2(s), v_2(s)) - f(s, u_1(s), v_1(s))|}{s} ds \right] \\
&\quad \leq 2k_1 \|u_2 - u_1\| + \frac{2L}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1)} (\|u_2 - u_1\| + \|v_2 - v_1\|) \\
&\quad \leq 2k_1 (\|u_2 - u_1\| + \|v_2 - v_1\|) + \frac{2L}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1)} (\|u_2 - u_1\| + \|v_2 - v_1\|),
\end{aligned}
$$

which on taking the norm for $t \in [1, e]$, yields

$$
\|\mathcal{T}_1(u_2, v_2) - \mathcal{T}_1(u_1, v_1)\| \leq \left(2k_1 + \frac{2L}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1)} \right) (\|u_2 - u_1\| + \|v_2 - v_1\|). \quad (2.23)
$$

Similarly,

$$
\|\mathcal{T}_2(u_2, v_2) - \mathcal{T}_2(u_1, v_1)\| \leq \left(2k_2 + \frac{2\bar{L}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1)} \right) (\|u_2 - u_1\| + \|v_2 - v_1\|). \quad (2.24)
$$

It follows from (2.23) and (2.24) that $\|\mathcal{T}(u_2, v_2) - \mathcal{T}(u_1, v_1)\| \leq \mu(\|u_2 - u_1\| + \|v_2 - v_1\|)$, which, in view of (2.21), shows that the operator $\mathcal{T}$ is a contraction. From Steps I and II, by Theorem 2.8, we get that the operator $\mathcal{T}$ has a unique fixed point. Therefore the system (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique solution on $[1, e]$. □

2.4 Examples

In this section, we give two examples to illustrate our main results.

Example 2.4. Consider the following system

$$
\begin{cases}
\left({}_H D^{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{6} {}_H D^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} \right) u(t) = \frac{|u(t)|}{(t+3)^4 (1 + |u(t)|)} + \frac{|v(t)|}{90(1 + |v(t)|)} + \frac{1}{16}, & t \in [1, e], \\
\left({}_H D^{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{8} {}_H D^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} \right) v(t) = \frac{\sin(\pi u(t))}{80\pi} + \frac{1}{15\sqrt{t+8}} + \frac{|v(t)|}{100(1 + |v(t)|)}, & t \in [1, e], \\
u(1) = 0, \quad u(e) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad v(1) = 0, \quad v(e) = \frac{1}{4}.
\end{cases}
\quad (2.25)
$$

Here $\alpha_1 = \frac{3}{2}$, $\alpha_2 = \frac{3}{2}$, $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, $A_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, $A_2 = \frac{1}{4}$, $k_1 = \frac{1}{6}$, $k_2 = \frac{1}{8}$.

We see that (H_1) holds, because

$$|f(t,u,v)| \leq \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{256}|u| + \frac{1}{90}|v| \quad \text{and} \quad |g(t,u,v)| \leq \frac{1}{45} + \frac{1}{80}|u| + \frac{1}{100}|v|,$$

with

$$m_0 = \frac{1}{16}, \quad m_1 = \frac{1}{256}, \quad m_2 = \frac{1}{90}, \quad n_0 = \frac{1}{45}, \quad n_1 = \frac{1}{80}, \quad n_2 = \frac{1}{100}.$$

In addition, $Q_1 \approx 0.3580 < 1, Q_2 \approx 0.2818 < 1$ and $\max\{Q_1, Q_2\} \approx 0.6420$. Thus, the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Therefore, by Theorem 2.2, the system (2.25) has at least one solution on $[1, e]$.

Example 2.5. Consider the following Hilfer-Hadamard system

$$\begin{cases} \left({}_HD^{\frac{5}{4},\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{7}{}_HD^{\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{2}}\right)u(t) = (1+\log t)\left(\frac{|u(t)|}{100+|u(t)|}\right) + \frac{|v(t)|}{(8+t)^3(1+|v(t)|)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+15}}, & t \in [1,e], \\ \left({}_HD^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{9}{}_HD^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\right)v(t) = \frac{\sin(u(t))}{(7+t)^3} + \frac{7}{49+t^2} + \frac{|v(t)|}{\sqrt{99+t^2}(4+|v(t)|)}, & t \in [1,e], \\ u(1) = 0, \quad u(e) = \frac{1}{3}, \quad v(1) = 0, \quad v(e) = \frac{1}{5}. \end{cases} \tag{2.26}$$

Here $\alpha_1 = \frac{5}{4}$, $\alpha_2 = \frac{3}{2}$, $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, $A_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $A_2 = \frac{1}{5}$, $k_1 = \frac{1}{7}$, $k_2 = \frac{1}{9}$.

Note that (H_2) holds, because

$$|f(t,u_1,u_2) - f(t,v_1,v_2)| \leq \frac{1}{50}(|u_1 - v_1| + |u_2 - v_2|)$$

and

$$|g(t,u_1,u_2) - g(t,v_1,v_2)| \leq \frac{1}{40}(|u_1 - v_1| + |u_2 - v_2|),$$

with $L = \frac{1}{50}, \bar{L} = \frac{1}{40}$. In addition,

$$\mu := 2\left(k_1 + k_2 + \frac{L}{\Gamma(\alpha_1+1)} + \frac{\bar{L}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2+1)}\right) \approx 0.580854 < 1.$$

Thus, all the conditions of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied. Therefore, by Theorem 2.3, the system (2.26) has a unique solution on $[1, e]$.

CHAPTER 3

CONCLUSION

In this paper, we studied existence and uniqueness of solutions for system of Hilfer-Hadamard sequential fractional differential equations with two-point boundary conditions.The existence result is proved by using Leray-Schauder alternative while the Banach contraction mapping principle is used to obtain the existence and uniqueness result. Examples illustrating the obtained results are also presented. Our results on system of Hilfer-Hadamard fractional derivative are new in the given configuration. We emphasize that we used Hilfer-Hadamard derivative of order $1 < \alpha_i \leq 2, i = 1, 2$. In the context of sequential fractional differential equations with two-point boundary conditions, the present paper significantly contribute to the existing literature on the topic. The problems studied in this paper can be extended to cover other kinds of boundary conditions.

References

[1] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 2006.

[2] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.

[3] S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas, O.I. Marichev, Fractional integrals and derivatives: Theory and applications. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Switzerland, 1993.

[4] K.S. Miller, B. Ross, An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations, Wiley and Sons, New York, 1993.

[5] K. Diethelm The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations, Springer, Berlin, 2010.

[6] R. Hilfer, Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics, World Scientific Publ. Co., Singapore, 2000.

[7] A. Fernandez, D. Baleanu, On a new definition of fractional differintegrals with Mittag-Leffler kernel, Filomat 33 (2019), 245–254.

[8] D. Baleanu, A. Jajarmi, S.S. Sajjadi, D. Mozyrska, A new fractional model and optimal control of a tumor-immune surveillance with non-singular derivative operator, Chaos 29, 083127 (2019).

[9] A. Alseadi, D. Baleanu, S. Etemad, Sh. Rezapour, On coupled systems of time-fractional differential problems by using a new fractional derivative, J. Funtion Spaces (2016),Article ID 4626940.

[10] D. Baleanu, A. Fernandez, On fractional operators and their classiffications, Mathematics 2019, 7, 830.

[11] A. Fernandez, M.A. Özarslan, D. Baleanu, On fractional calculus with general analytic kernels, Appl. Math. Comput. 354 (2019), 248-265.

[12] Z.M. Ge, C.Y. Ou, Chaos synchronization of fractional order modified Duffing systems with parameters excited by a chaotic signal, Chaos Solitons Fractals 35 (2008), 705-717.

[13] I.M. Sokolov, J. Klafter, A. Blumen, Fractional kinetics, Phys. Today 55 (2002), 48-54.

[14] M. Javidi, B. Ahmad, Dynamic analysis of time fractional order phytoplankton-toxic phytoplankton-zooplankton system, Ecological Modelling 318 (2015), 8-18.

[15] I. Petras, R.L. Magin, Simulation of drug uptake in a two compartmental fractional model for a biological system, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 16 (2011), 4588-4595.

[16] B. Ahmad, J.J. Nieto, Existence results for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations with three-point boundary conditions, Comput. Math. Appl., 58 (2009), 1838-1843.

[17] C.S. Goodrich, Existence of a positive solution to systems of differential equations of fractional order, Comput. Math. Appl. 62 (2011), 1251-1268.

[18] S.K. Ntouyas, M. Obaid, A coupled system of fractional differential equations with nonlocal integral boundary conditions, Adv. Differ. Equ. (2012), 2012:130.

[19] X. Su, Boundary value problem for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations, Appl. Math. Lett. 22 (2009), 64-69.

[20] B. Ahmad, S.K. Ntouyas, Existence results for a coupled system of Caputo type sequential fractional differential equations with nonlocal integral boundary conditions, Appl. Math. Comput. 266 (2015), 615-622.

[21] B. Ahmad, S.K. Ntouyas, Existence results for Caputo type sequential fractional differential inclusions with nonlocal integral boundary conditions, J. Appl. Math. Comput. 50 (2016), 157-174.

[22] B. Ahmad, S.K. Ntouyas, A. Alsaedi, On a coupled system of fractional differential equations with coupled nonlocal and integral boundary conditions, Chaos Solitons Fractals 83 (2016), 234-241.

[23] A. Alsaedi, S. Aljoudi, B. Ahmad. Existence of solutions for Riemann-Liouville type coupled systems of fractional integro-differential equations and boundary conditions. Electron. J. Differential Equations, 211, 2016, 1-14.

[24] H.H. Alsulami, S.K. Ntouyas, R.P. Agarwal, B. Ahmad, A. Alsaedi, A study of fractional-order coupled systems with a new concept of coupled non-separated boundary conditions, Bound. Value Probl. (2017), 2017:68.

[25] B. Ahmad, J.J. Nieto, A. Alsaedi, M.H. Aqlan, A Coupled system of Caputo-type sequential fractional differential equations with coupled (periodic/anti-periodic type) boundary conditions, Mediterr. J. Math. (2017) 14: 227. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-017-1027-2.

[26] B. Shiri, D. Baleanu, System of fractional differential algebraic equations with applications, Chaos, Solitons Fractals 120 (2019), 203-212.

[27] D. Baleanu, B. Shiri, H.M. Srivastava, M. Al Qurashi, A Chebyshev spectral method based on operational matrix for fractional differential equations involving non-singular Mittag-Leffler kernel, Adv. Differ. Equ. (2018) 2018: 353.

[28] D. Baleanu, B. Shiri, Collocation methods for fractional differential equations involving non-singular kernel, Chaos Solitons Fractals 116 (2018), 136-145.

[29] S. Aljoudi, B. Ahmad, J.J. Nieto, A. Alsaedi, A coupled system of Hadamard type sequential fractional differential equations with coupled strip conditions, Chaos Solitons Fractals 91 (2016), 39-46.

[30] A. Alsaedi, S.K. Ntouyas, R.P. Agarwal, B. Ahmad, On Caputo type sequential fractional differential equations with nonlocal integral boundary conditions, Adv. Differ. Equ. (2015) 2015:33.

[31] S. Abbas, M. Benchohra, J. Lazreg, J.J. Nieto, On a coupled system of Hilfer-Hadamard fractional differential equations in Banach spaces, J. Nonlinear Funct. Anal. 2018 (2018), Article ID 12.

[32] S. Abbas, M. Benchohra, N. Hamidi, Y. Zhou, Implicit coupled Hilfer–Hadamard fractional differential systems under weak topologies, Adv. Differ. Equ. (2018) 2018:328.

[33] Ahmad Y. A, Salamooni, D D Pawar, Existence and uniqueness of boundary value problems for Hilfer-Hadamard-type fractional differential equations, (2018).

[34] Y.Y. Gambo, F. Jarad, D. Baleanu, T. Abdeljawad, On Caputo modification of the Hadamard fractional derivatives, Adv. Differ. Equ. (2014), 2014:10.

[35] A. Granas, J. Dugundji, Fixed Point Theory, Springer, New York, 2005.

[36] K. Deimling, Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.